



## KCG Working Paper

**Friends like this:**

# **The Impact of the US – China Trade War on Global Value Chains**

**Haiou Mao and Holger Görg**

No. 17 | August 2019

## Friends like this:

# The Impact of the US – China Trade War on Global Value Chains

**Haiou Mao and Holger Görg**

**Abstract:** This paper considers the indirect impact the recent tariff increases between the US and China can have in third countries through links in global supply chains. We combine data from input-output relationships, imports and tariffs, to calculate the impact of the tariff increases by both the US and China on cumulative tariffs for other countries and thus hurt trade partners further downstream in global supply chains. We also show that this is particularly important for tariff increases on Chinese imports in the US. These are likely to be used as intermediates in production in the US, which are then re-exported to third countries. The most heavily hit third countries are the closest trade partners, namely Canada and Mexico. We estimate that the tariffs impose additional burden of around 500 to 600 million US dollars on these two countries. China's tariffs on US imports have less of an effect.

**Keywords:** Trade war, cumulative tariffs, indirect tariffs

**JEL Classification:** F1

Haiou Mao  
Kiel Institute for the World Economy  
Kiellinie 66  
24105 Kiel  
Germany  
Maohaiou77@163.com

Holger Görg  
Kiel Centre for Globalization  
Kiel Institute for the World Economy  
Kiellinie 66  
24105 Kiel  
Germany  
Holger.goerg@ifw-kiel.de

**Acknowledgements:** This research was supported by the Leibniz Association through the Leibniz Science Campus “Kiel Centre for Globalization”. Mao also gratefully acknowledges support from the Philosophy and Social Science Research Funding of the Chinese Ministry of Education (Grant No. 18JZD034).

**About the Kiel Centre for Globalization (KCG):** KCG is a Leibniz Science Campus initiated by Christian-Albrechts University of Kiel and Kiel Institute for the World Economy. It works on an interdisciplinary research agenda that evaluates the proliferation of global supply chains as an important aspect of globalization. To this end, the KCG brings together researchers from economics, ethics and management science. KCG is financially supported by the Leibniz Association and the State Government of Schleswig-Holstein. More information about KCG can be found here: [www.kcg-kiel.org](http://www.kcg-kiel.org).

*The responsibility for the contents of this publication rests with the authors, not the Institute. Since KCG Working Paper is of a preliminary nature, it may be useful to contact the authors of a particular issue about results or caveats before referring to, or quoting, a paper. Any comments should be sent directly to the authors.*

## 1. Introduction

The on-going “trade war” between the US and China, where both countries hike up tariffs on clearly specified products, has been a subject of controversial public and political discussion even since before it started. The contention among US government officials is, of course, that this will benefit the US, if not in the short then in the long run. This view of the world is, however, debated strongly by academic economists. Amiti et al. (2019) for example estimate that the changes in US trade policy have led to higher domestic prices for US consumers and an overall reduction in US welfare. Balistreri et al. (2018) reach a similar conclusion, as do Li et al. (2018) and Bellora and Fontagne (2019). These studies on the US – China dispute mirror a more general literature on the costs of protectionism, such as Ossa (2014), Costinot and Rodriguez-Clare (2014) and Felbermayr et al. (2015).

This short paper contributes to this literature by considering the indirect impact the tariff increases between the US and China can have on third countries through links in global supply chains. Consider Apple’s iPhone, which relies heavily on imported inputs from China. If the US imports this product at higher tariffs, this increase will also feed into, say, exports of iPhones from the US to Canada. We calculate the implications for third countries, say Canada, using the concept of cumulative and indirect tariffs in global value chains, as espoused in Rouzet and Miroudot (2013). The basic idea is that an imposition of higher tariffs by the US on Chinese imports, which are then used in the US for production and subsequent further exporting to other countries, imposes an additional tariff cost for the third countries. They, probably unintentionally, get hurt through this.

Ours is, to the best of our knowledge, the first attempt to apply these concepts of cumulative and indirect tariffs in the context of the US – China trade war. While other studies have also looked at the impact on third countries, they usually consider trade diversion which may benefit other trade partners (e.g., Balistreri et al., 2018; Bolt et al., 2019). The idea that the tariff increase will feed through the global supply chain also into exports to third countries is largely unexplored.

We combine data from input-output relationships, imports and tariffs, to calculate the impact of the tariff increases by both the US and China on cumulative tariffs paid by third countries. We show that the tariff hikes increase cumulative tariffs for other

countries and thus hurt trade partners further downstream in global supply chains. We also show that this is particularly important for tariff increases on Chinese imports in the US. These are likely to be used as intermediates in production in the US, which are then re-exported to third countries. Interestingly, the most heavily hit third countries are the closest trade partners, namely the EU, Canada and Mexico. We estimate that the tariffs impose an additional burden of between 500 million to 1 billion US dollars on these countries. China's tariffs on US imports have less of an effect, as they are less likely to be re-exported.

Section 2 outlines our methodology used. Section 3 describes the data sets. The results of our calculations are presented and discussed in Section 4, while Section 5 concludes.

## **2. Methodology**

A cumulative tariff is the total cost of all tariffs incurred in a production process along the global value chain. It provides evidence on the extent to which trade costs are magnified in international production networks (Rouzet and Miroudot, 2013). Based on the calculation of cumulative tariffs, the extra tariff burden on third countries caused by tariff adding between two trading partners can be estimated. This is referred to as the indirect tariff burden. In other words, the indirect tariff burden can show clearly how much a third country gets hurt by tariff hikes between two countries.

### **2.1 Cumulative Tariff**

We use the method developed by Rouzet and Miroudot (2013) to calculate the cumulative tariff on imports. The cumulative tariff consists of two parts, namely, a direct tariff and an indirect tariff. The calculation of a cumulative tariff can be described as follows, in which we first ignore the dimension of industries for the sake of simplicity

- Stage 0: The direct tariff  $t_{i,j}$  is imposed by country  $j$  on country  $i$ .
- Stage 1: for country  $i$  producing per unit output, it imports  $a_{m,i}$  from

country  $m$  as intermediate input,  $m \in (1, 2, \dots, N)$ . Then the cumulative tariff of country  $j$ 's import from country  $i$  for stage 1 is

$$CT_{i,j}^{(1)} = t_{i,j} + \sum_{m=1}^N a_{m,i} t_{m,i} \quad (1)$$

- Stage 2: for country  $m$  producing per unit output, it imports  $a_{l,m}$  from country  $l$  as intermediates input,  $l \in (1, 2, \dots, N)$ . The cumulative tariff for stage 2 is

$$CT_{i,j}^{(2)} = t_{i,j} + \sum_{m=1}^N a_{m,i} t_{m,i} + \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N a_{m,i} a_{l,m} t_{l,m} \quad (2)$$

...

- Stage  $s$ : Likewise, the cumulative tariff for stage  $s$  is

$$CT_{i,j}^{(s)} = t_{i,j} + \sum_{m=1}^N a_{m,i} t_{m,i} + \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N a_{m,i} a_{l,m} t_{l,m} + \dots \quad (3)$$

$$+ \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s \in N} a_{m_1, i} a_{m_2, m_1} a_{m_3, m_2} \dots a_{m_s, m_{s-1}} t_{m_s, m_{s-1}}$$

when  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $CT_{i,j}^{(s)}$  accounts for all the tariffs incurred along the value chain.

The calculation of tariffs incurred along the value chains (Equation (3)) can be presented in a matrix form as shown in Equation (4), where we now include the dimension of industries for generalization:

$$CT = T + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{A}^n \right)' \times \mathbf{e} \circ \mathbf{D} = T + ((\mathbf{e} \times (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{T}) \times (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1})' \times \mathbf{e}) \circ \mathbf{D} \quad (4)$$

$$= T + ((\mathbf{e} \times (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{T}) \times \mathbf{B})' \times \mathbf{e}) \circ \mathbf{D}$$

where  $CT$  is the  $NH \times NH$  cumulative tariff matrix,  $N$  is the total number of countries,  $H$  is the total number of industries.  $T$  is the  $NH \times NH$  direct import tariff matrix.  $\mathbf{e}$  is a  $1 \times NH$  vector of ones.  $\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{T}$  ( $= \mathbf{C}$ ) is the result of element by element multiplication of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the input-output coefficient matrix.  $\mathbf{I}$  is an identity matrix.  $\mathbf{D}$  is a matrix with all elements set to be 1, except for zeros for the elements for the industrial interactions within the same countries. This is to make sure that the import tariff for countries on themselves is 0.  $\mathbf{B}$  is the Leontief inverse matrix.

In Equation (4),  $((\mathbf{e} \times (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{T}) \times \mathbf{B})' \times \mathbf{e}) \circ \mathbf{D}$  is the indirect tariff matrix.

## 2.2 Indirect Tariff Burden Caused by Tariff Adding

Assuming the direct tariff matrix is altered from  $T^1$  to  $T^2$ ,  $T^2 = T^1 + \Delta T$ , we have

$$\Delta CT = \Delta T + ((e \times (A \circ \Delta T) \times B)' \times e) \circ D = \Delta T + \Delta IT \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta T$  is the change in the direct tariff matrix and  $\Delta IT$  accounts for the change in the indirect tariff burden caused by  $\Delta T$  through the global production network.

To have a clearer idea about what we mean by the indirect tariff burden caused by tariff adding, we consider a simple scenario with a world consisting of three countries. A change happens on the import tariff of country 3 on country 2  $\Delta T_{23}$ , while the tariff matrix for other countries remains the same. Then the change in the cumulative tariff is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta CT &= \Delta T + \left( e \times \left( \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta T_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \times \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} \\ B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33} \end{bmatrix} \right)' \times e \circ D \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta T_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{31} & A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{31} \\ A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{32} & 0 & A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{32} \\ A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{33} & A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{33} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From Equation (6), we can see why and by how much the indirect tariff is changed. Take country 1 as an example. The indirect import tariff change of country 2 and country 3 on country 1 is  $A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{31}$ , among which  $B_{31}$  is the total requirement of inputs from country 3 for producing 1 unit of a good in country 1,  $A_{23}$  is the direct inputs from country 2 for producing 1 unit product in country 3. Thus  $A_{23}\Delta T_{23}B_{31}$  means the indirect tariff change transferred through the production network from country 3 to country 1.

The cumulative tariff can thus be calculated at the industry level. To get a more aggregate picture we can also calculate cumulative tariffs or indirect tariff changes at the country pair level rather than country-industry: In this case we use the import ratio as the weight to sum up the tariff from industry level to country level.

## 3. Data

The data used in this paper include input-output data, tariff data and import data. A description of the data and the source is given in Table 1. We calculate the

input-output coefficient matrix  $A$  using the World Input-output Table from the WIOD database. This data relates to the latest year for which the data is available, 2014. The country-industry-country level import tariffs are from UNCTAD TRAINS database at HS 4 product level of HS 4. The latest year is also 2014.<sup>1</sup> Considering that the industry categories HS 4 and WIOD (ISIC 4.0) are different, we use the industry product concordance provided by World Integrated Trade Solutions (WITS). We then add up tariffs to the ISIC 4.0 level using import weights. Import data at HS 4 level are also from UNCTAD TRAINS database. After calculating the cumulative tariff matrix, we need to use the import ratios for WIOD industries as weights to sum industry level tariff to country level data. Import data for WIOD industry categories are from the UNCTAD STAN database.

**Table 1**

Data Sources

Data Description	Data Source
World Input-output Table	WIOD Database (Latest to 2014)
Import tariff for HS 4 industry of country pair	UNCTAD-TRANS Database (Latest to 2014)
Tariff amount and commodity list of US import tariff adding on China (To June 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2019)	Office of the United States Trade Representatives
Tariff amount and commodity list of China import tariff adding on US (To June 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2019)	Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China
The import for HS 4 industry of country pair	UNCTAD-TRANS (Latest to 2014)
The import for HS 6 industry between US and China	UN COMTRADE
The import of ISIC 4.0 industry for country pairs	UNCTAD-Stan
The concordance of HS 4 industry and ISIC 4.0 industry	From World Integrated Trade Solutions

Notes: The commodity list of US import tariff adding on China is from <https://ustr.gov/about-us/policy-offices/press-office/press-releases/2018/september/ustr-finalizes-tariffs-200>.

The notice of increase tariff from 10% to 25% of US on China:

<https://ustr.gov/about-us/policy-offices/press-office/press-releases/2019/may/notice-regarding-application-section>.

Tariff amount and commodity list of China import tariff adding on US is from

[http://gss.mof.gov.cn/zhengwuxinxi/zhengcefabu/201808/t20180803\\_2980950.html](http://gss.mof.gov.cn/zhengwuxinxi/zhengcefabu/201808/t20180803_2980950.html).

To investigate the indirect tariff burden of the “US-China Trade War” on third countries, we collect the tariff-adding amounts and commodity lists from the government websites of the US and China. The tariff-adding information of US on

<sup>1</sup> For countries, including Indonesia, India, Mexico, Turkey, tariff and import data for 2014 is missing, thus we use 2013 data as replacement.



China is from the Office of the United States Trade Representatives, and the tariff adding information of China on US is from Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China.<sup>2</sup>

## **4. Results**

### **4.1 Cumulative tariffs and indirect tariffs**

To start off, we present the cumulative tariff rates, calculated as in Equation (4), which are presented for the 12 countries in our data base. Table 2 shows the results with the tariff-imposing destination country in columns and the trade partner country in rows.<sup>3</sup> This shows, e.g., in the first row that the average cumulative tariff imposed by China on total imports is 3.79 percent. Table 3 then shows the ratio of indirect to cumulative tariffs, i.e., the share of cumulative tariffs that are incurred before the good crosses the last border. For China, this shows that of the 3.79 percent cumulative tariff, only 5.65 percent are indirect tariffs, the remaining 94.35 percent are direct tariffs imposed at the Chinese border.

This looks quite different for countries generally higher up the value chain, such as the US. Here, Table 2 shows that the cumulative tariff adds up to 1.54 percent, 26.6 percent of which are indirect tariffs incurred before the goods cross the US border (Table 3).

The importance of the concept of cumulative tariffs as summing up tariffs along the value chain can be illustrated well by looking at the US – Mexican relationship. While both countries are in NAFTA with low to zero tariffs for goods, Tables 2 and 3 show that the cumulative tariff imposed by the US on imports from Mexico adds up to 1.39 percent. 98.81 percent of which are indirect tariffs, i.e., tariffs imposed on intermediate goods before crossing from Mexico into the US.

---

<sup>2</sup> However, the commodity list of tariff-adding is at the HS8 code, which makes classifying it into WIOD industry category difficult. The main problem is that there is a lack of bilateral trade data for HS8 products between US and China which are necessary as weights. By checking the subgroup of HS6 products, we found that only 38.1% HS6 products have subgroup in HS8, the remaining 61.9% HS6 products do not have subgroups (The information of subgroups of HS6 products is from <https://www.usitc.gov/tata/hts/bychapter/index.htm>.) It means that taking the tariff-adding on HS8 products as the tariff-adding on HS6 products should not overestimate the indirect tariff by too much. The bilateral trade data of HS6 products between US and China are from UN COMTRADE database.

<sup>3</sup> Overall, these results are roughly comparable to Rouzet and Miroudot (2013), who use 2009 data for their calculations.

**Table 2**

The Cumulative Import Tariff (2014) (%)

	AUS	BRA	CAN	CHN	IDN	IND	JPN	KOR	MEX	RUS	USA	EU
TOT	2.09	7.69	1.66	<b>3.79</b>	2.28	4.05	2.34	3.71	5.23	5.46	<b>1.54</b>	0.92
AUS		3.22	3.05	1.26	4.61	4.34	3.24	5.19	2.96	5.61	1.80	3.59
BRA	3.56		2.40	3.08	5.98	10.49	2.37	66.81	8.54	14.76	2.13	7.63
CAN	2.02	5.83		4.40	1.87	15.14	11.14	6.07	7.79	11.02	0.30	1.82
CHN	4.00	14.86	3.46		1.45	6.73	3.49	6.24	3.55	6.64	3.33	4.26
IDN	0.57	19.31	5.68	1.03		23.53	0.73	0.90	10.31	4.58	5.89	5.59
IND	3.76	7.29	4.48	4.30	4.05		1.55	4.95	10.28	7.79	3.36	5.69
JPN	3.64	14.38	2.25	8.31	7.41	7.92		4.77	4.89	3.71	1.35	3.57
KOR	3.05	12.90	3.53	7.37	2.00	7.63	2.64		4.00	6.10	1.20	1.13
MEX	4.07	4.14	1.66	8.38	4.68	4.37	5.85	5.21		5.62	<b>1.39</b>	1.28
RUS	0.37	2.57	1.15	1.07	1.09	7.22	0.55	5.17	5.05		1.57	0.75
USA	0.22	7.39	1.10	6.90	4.53	7.76	6.22	7.56	6.53	8.01		2.37
EU	3.41	11.57	2.75	9.28	6.23	8.84	3.85	2.25	5.44	6.52	1.61	

**Table 3**

The Ratio of Indirect Import Tariff to Cumulative Import Tariff, Measurement: %

	AUS	BRA	CAN	CHN	IDN	IND	JPN	KOR	MEX	RUS	USA	EU
TOT	11.27	3.37	17.67	<b>5.65</b>	9.29	4.00	10.00	4.99	5.14	4.76	<b>26.60</b>	26.06
AUS		5.71	8.70	10.97	3.91	3.56	4.18	2.76	7.84	4.64	15.32	5.82
BRA	25.61		29.88	14.53	9.26	3.96	18.86	0.69	14.22	3.41	33.59	7.52
CAN	11.34	3.76		4.99	13.56	1.24	2.04	2.45	3.81	2.54	69.46	8.86
CHN	10.49	2.89	12.51		27.05	6.47	12.56	6.83	14.85	6.45	13.67	10.05
IDN	73.36	2.22	7.45	23.82		0.84	35.64	22.22	5.23	8.21	7.24	7.08
IND	18.38	7.39	15.99	12.26	17.50		35.35	11.06	8.62	9.99	19.48	11.73
JPN	3.44	1.02	6.13	1.93	2.07	1.92		3.69	2.71	3.78	10.48	4.10
KOR	17.36	5.86	21.31	10.31	29.76	8.18	33.49		18.85	12.98	61.64	62.86
MEX	33.10	38.39	91.92	14.57	23.23	8.96	20.07	15.49		26.29	<b>98.81</b>	76.83
RUS	57.53	22.22	46.13	23.35	34.17	5.36	40.44	4.58	9.90		19.99	32.55
USA	79.35	1.97	15.81	2.21	3.21	1.88	2.29	1.91	2.58	2.29		6.50
EU	5.83	1.80	7.40	2.34	3.29	2.13	5.67	9.10	3.84	3.23	12.66	

While these cumulative tariffs are aggregated at the country level, we can use the information on the input-output structure to zoom in at the industry level. This is what is done in Tables 4 and 5. We use Equation (5) and calculate the expected increase in cumulative tariffs for a hypothetical tariff increase by the US respectively China.

Table 4 considers an increase in US tariffs on Chinese imports by 100 percent. We can see that industries are affected differently, and that the cumulative tariff increase also differs across countries. Quite interestingly, the countries that are hit hardest by a tariff increase vis-à-vis China are the US main trading partners Canada and Mexico – and here in particular the chemical, electrical / electronics, and vehicle manufacturing

industries. These are all industries that rely heavily on imported intermediates, and increasing tariffs on such from China leads to a significant increase in cumulative tariffs.

**Table 4**

The Indirect Tariff Burden on Third Country of US Adding 100% Tariff on Import from China for Each Industry (%)

Industry	TOT	AUS	BRA	CAN	CHN	IDN	IND	JPN	KOR	MEX	RUS	USA	EU
Crop and animal production, hunting and related service activities	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.18	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.06	0.17	0.01	0.01	0.02
Forestry and logging	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fishing and aquaculture	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products	0.08	0.11	0.18	0.45	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.44	0.06	0.05	0.06
Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.40	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.13	0.11	0.36	0.04	0.03	0.05
Manufacture of textiles, wearing apparel and leather products	0.35	0.51	0.41	2.29	0.30	0.19	0.17	0.34	0.28	2.21	0.24	0.26	0.24
Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork, except furniture; manufacture of articles of straw and plaiting materials	0.17	0.23	0.19	0.97	0.17	0.10	0.09	0.19	0.14	0.73	0.10	0.10	0.12
Manufacture of paper and paper products	0.31	0.33	0.36	1.65	0.26	0.25	0.17	0.34	0.29	1.45	0.17	0.19	0.18
Printing and reproduction of recorded media	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.01
Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products	0.25	0.23	0.46	0.91	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.24	0.21	0.88	0.13	0.12	0.17
<b>Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products</b>	<b>3.25</b>	<b>3.47</b>	<b>7.03</b>	<b>13.71</b>	<b>2.62</b>	<b>2.06</b>	<b>1.92</b>	<b>3.47</b>	<b>3.01</b>	<b>13.08</b>	<b>1.95</b>	<b>1.69</b>	<b>2.57</b>
Manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical preparations	0.24	0.28	0.55	1.10	0.21	0.16	0.15	0.28	0.24	1.05	0.16	0.14	0.22
Manufacture of rubber and plastic products	0.83	0.96	0.90	4.36	0.60	0.38	0.35	0.72	0.69	3.48	0.51	0.46	0.44
Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products	0.37	0.42	0.44	2.21	0.31	0.17	0.21	0.34	0.36	1.74	0.23	0.23	0.23
Manufacture of basic metals	1.09	1.33	1.08	5.77	0.89	0.44	0.70	0.88	1.03	4.99	0.78	0.69	0.72
Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment	1.47	1.83	1.57	7.54	1.17	0.67	0.72	1.29	1.43	6.01	1.11	0.85	0.86
<b>Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products</b>	<b>5.72</b>	<b>6.94</b>	<b>6.17</b>	<b>22.14</b>	<b>5.96</b>	<b>2.23</b>	<b>3.27</b>	<b>6.14</b>	<b>6.35</b>	<b>17.36</b>	<b>5.06</b>	<b>3.32</b>	<b>4.60</b>
<b>Manufacture of electrical equipment</b>	<b>2.05</b>	<b>2.72</b>	<b>2.19</b>	<b>9.52</b>	<b>1.69</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>1.87</b>	<b>2.28</b>	<b>8.56</b>	<b>1.61</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>1.29</b>
<b>Manufacture of machinery and equipment n.e.c.</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>3.35</b>	<b>3.21</b>	<b>13.62</b>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>2.24</b>	<b>2.81</b>	<b>10.53</b>	<b>1.97</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>1.50</b>
<b>Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers</b>	<b>2.28</b>	<b>2.23</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>15.49</b>	<b>1.43</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.94</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>9.48</b>	<b>1.24</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>0.77</b>
Manufacture of other transport equipment	0.38	0.28	0.26	0.74	0.26	0.11	0.20	0.29	0.19	0.37	0.39	0.09	0.50
Manufacture of furniture; other manufacturing	0.18	0.32	0.23	0.91	0.14	0.08	0.11	0.23	0.18	0.72	0.13	0.09	0.15

**Table 5**

The Transfer Tariff Burden of China Adding 100% Tariff on Import from US for Each Industry (%)

Industry	TOT	AUS	BRA	CAN	CHN	IDN	IND	JPN	KOR	MEX	RUS	USA	EU
Crop and animal production, hunting and related service activities	<b>1.06</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>1.97</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>2.62</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>0.99</b>	<b>1.82</b>	<b>1.85</b>	<b>0.88</b>
Forestry and logging	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.03
Fishing and aquaculture	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products	0.35	0.62	0.53	0.34	0.14	0.70	0.50	0.57	0.61	0.44	0.54	0.51	0.24
Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products	0.33	0.56	0.48	0.32	0.10	0.61	0.39	0.66	0.51	0.37	0.52	0.51	0.24
Manufacture of textiles, wearing apparel and leather products	0.10	0.16	0.10	0.09	0.01	0.11	0.05	0.18	0.09	0.06	0.13	0.15	0.07
Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork, except furniture; manufacture of articles of straw and plaiting materials	0.15	0.27	0.14	0.17	0.03	0.16	0.12	0.23	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.28	0.10
Manufacture of paper and paper products	0.30	0.59	0.40	0.33	0.11	0.47	0.37	0.55	0.45	0.39	0.47	0.54	0.23
Printing and reproduction of recorded media	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01
Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products	0.28	0.45	0.41	0.25	0.10	0.49	0.36	0.44	0.42	0.32	0.40	0.40	0.18
<b>Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products</b>	<b>1.85</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>3.15</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>3.53</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>3.04</b>	<b>2.44</b>	<b>2.99</b>	<b>3.12</b>	<b>1.39</b>
Manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical preparations	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.02
Manufacture of rubber and plastic products	0.18	0.30	0.24	0.18	0.06	0.26	0.21	0.30	0.25	0.25	0.28	0.30	0.13
Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.03
Manufacture of basic metals	0.19	0.34	0.28	0.22	0.07	0.37	0.26	0.33	0.34	0.30	0.36	0.33	0.15
Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment	0.13	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.04	0.21	0.16	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.21	0.09
<b>Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>2.10</b>	<b>1.77</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>2.34</b>	<b>2.58</b>	<b>2.04</b>	<b>2.65</b>	<b>1.16</b>
<b>Manufacture of electrical equipment</b>	0.24	0.35	0.30	0.22	0.09	0.32	0.29	0.37	0.33	0.36	0.35	0.38	0.17
<b>Manufacture of machinery and equipment n.e.c.</b>	0.69	1.09	0.95	0.68	0.22	<b>1.26</b>	0.88	1.08	0.97	0.91	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.04</b>	0.47
<b>Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers</b>	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.03	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.07
Manufacture of other transport equipment	0.11	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.04	0.21	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.18	0.16	0.09
Manufacture of furniture; other manufacturing	0.20	0.31	0.23	0.19	0.06	0.30	0.21	0.29	0.29	0.23	0.29	0.30	0.13

Table 5 presents results for the opposite scenario, namely, an increase on import tariffs by China on US imports by 100 percent. These results show that the impact on cumulative tariffs is much smaller than for the scenario of a US tariff increase. Other countries are hit far less by Chinese tariffs on US goods than by US tariffs on Chinese goods. This reflects the fact that the latter are more likely to be intermediates in the production process.

Rather than using a hypothetical increase in tariffs by 100 percent we can use the actual values imposed by the US and China in the current trade war. Table 6 shows the rates, based on the official announcement of tariff added on listed goods and averaged by import weight to WIOD industry level<sup>4</sup>. We also show the corresponding import ratio<sup>5</sup>, that is, imports into the tariff imposing country from the partner country. In the first two columns, which shows US tariff increases and corresponding import ratios, we can see that the US imposed tariffs in industries with relatively low levels of imports, such as forestry and logging; manufacture of food products; or motor vehicles. The same goes for Chinese import tariffs- which are targeted at industries from which relatively little is imported from the US.

Table 7 shows the corresponding changes in indirect tariffs as a result of the tariff increases, including tariff adding, growth rate and tariff burden. This shows that, while all countries bear the added indirect tariff when importing from the US, Canada and Mexico experience the highest increases in indirect tariffs, at 0.27 and 0.24 percent respectively. In other words, the trade partners of the US are hit hardest by US tariff increases on Chinese goods, as they use imported intermediates which are now subject to the tariff hikes. The indirect tariff caused by the trade war is equivalent, for example, to the 29% of Canada's direct tariff on US imports<sup>6</sup>. While the calculated changes in indirect tariffs do not appear large at first sight, they are economically significant. As comparison, consider that the average direct tariff imposed by the EU or the US on the world are only 1.13% or 0.68%, respectively.

---

<sup>4</sup> The commodity list of US import tariff adding on China is from <https://ustr.gov/about-us/policy-offices/press-office/press-releases/2018/september/ustr-finalizes-tariffs-200>. The notice of increase tariff from 10% to 25% of US on China: <https://ustr.gov/about-us/policy-offices/press-office/press-releases/2019/may/notice-regarding-application-section>. Tariff amount and commodity list of China import tariff adding on US is from [http://gss.mof.gov.cn/zhengwuxinxi/zhengcefabu/201808/t20180803\\_2980950.html](http://gss.mof.gov.cn/zhengwuxinxi/zhengcefabu/201808/t20180803_2980950.html).

<sup>5</sup> We report the import ratio for each country in year 2017. The bilateral import data between US and China are from UN COMTRADE, summed from HS4 products level to WIOD industry level.

<sup>6</sup> The direct tariff of Canada on US is 0.93% in 2014.

**Table 6**  
The Tariff Added between China and US during “Trade War” (%)

Industry	US on China		China on US	
	Tariff added	Import ratio	Tariff added	Import ratio
Crop and animal production, hunting and related service activities	16.84	0.21%	0.44	<b>12.08%</b>
Forestry and logging	25.00	0.00%	<b>20.95</b>	<b>0.90%</b>
Fishing and aquaculture	19.16	0.01%	0.45	0.20%
Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products	11.45	0.08%	16.34	4.15%
Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products	<b>20.61</b>	<b>1.21%</b>	8.73	3.94%
Manufacture of textiles, wearing apparel and leather products	4.70	12.82%	15.64	0.67%
Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork, except furniture; manufacture of articles of straw and plaiting materials	<b>20.39</b>	<b>0.90%</b>	<b>23.47</b>	<b>1.18%</b>
Manufacture of paper and paper products	<b>22.73</b>	<b>0.72%</b>	3.36	1.72%
Printing and reproduction of recorded media	0.00	0.06%	13.01	0.17%
Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products	<b>20.12</b>	<b>0.13%</b>	2.34	1.87%
<b>Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products</b>	<b>18.96</b>	<b>2.82%</b>	11.33	<b>11.29%</b>
Manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical preparations	0.40	0.54%	1.41	2.54%
Manufacture of rubber and plastic products	16.16	3.92%	13.94	1.98%
Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products	17.37	1.61%	12.92	0.90%
Manufacture of basic metals	8.02	0.92%	17.38	4.45%
Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment	17.27	4.05%	14.44	1.17%
<b>Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products</b>	5.13	<b>37.09%</b>	8.09	<b>15.16%</b>
<b>Manufacture of electrical equipment</b>	16.91	<b>10.06%</b>	<b>19.93</b>	<b>2.89%</b>
<b>Manufacture of machinery and equipment n.e.c.</b>	10.26	7.34%	11.93	7.88%
<b>Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers</b>	<b>21.35</b>	<b>3.02%</b>	0.29	<b>10.66%</b>
Manufacture of other transport equipment	8.13	0.76%	0.16	<b>11.92%</b>
Manufacture of furniture; other manufacturing	8.61	<b>11.75%</b>	<b>19.81</b>	<b>2.26%</b>
TOTAL	9.57	100%	7.66	100%

To further underline the economic significance of the tariff changes, we also report the growth rate of indirect tariffs on imports from the US and the world. For imports from the US, the growth rate achieved nearly 150% for every country, which is substantial.

For imports from the world, Canada and Mexico experience the highest growth rates of indirect tariffs. In addition, we calculate the indirect tariff burden in US dollars caused by US adding tariffs on China, by multiplying the indirect tariff rates with the import value in 2018. The countries bearing the largest tariff burden are the EU as well as Canada and Mexico – the main trading partners of the US. Their additional costs due to the indirect tariff burden sum to 1 billion, 648 million and 522 million respectively. Note however that the US and China are also severely affected.

The opposite scenario for tariff increases by China is shown in Table 8. It is clear that the impact of China’s trade protection is much less than the actions of the US. This again indicates that US goods are less important as intermediates which are exported from China to other countries, while Chinese goods imported into the US are much more likely to be exported after processing in the US. Still, considerable additional indirect tariff burdens fall on the US and the EU, due to the large scale of imports from China.

**Table 7**  
The Indirect Tariff Caused by US Adding Tariff on China during “Trade War”

Countries	Indirect tariff rate added (%)		Indirect tariff growth rate		Indirect tariff burden (million \$)	
	For imports from US	For imports from the world	For imports from US	For imports from the world	For imports from US	For imports from the world
AUS	0.26	0.03	148.70%	13.70%	64.19	75.98
BRA	0.18	0.04	125.70%	13.70%	53.58	64.17
CAN	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>154.00%</b>	<b>48.00%</b>	<b>631.78</b>	<b>648.00</b>
CHN	0.22	0.02	143.80%	10.40%	338.38	<b>412.93</b>
IDN	0.18	0.01	124.10%	6.20%	18.41	24.63
IND	0.19	0.01	133.40%	8.40%	75.59	83.84
JPN	0.19	0.02	137.10%	10.60%	162.88	184.76
KOR	0.21	0.03	145.90%	13.80%	124.50	136.57
MEX	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>139.70%</b>	<b>41.90%</b>	<b>508.69</b>	<b>522.16</b>
RUS	0.27	0.02	145.70%	7.30%	33.92	45.80
USA	-	0.02	-	3.90%	-	<b>415.43</b>
EU	0.22	0.02	144.10%	7.20%	<b>766.64</b>	<b>1,043.12</b>

Notes: 1. The column of country names are who imports; 2. By dividing Indirect tariff rate adding caused by “trade war” with the total indirect tariff rate in 2014, we get the growth rate of indirect tariff. 3. Indirect tariff burden caused by “trade war” equals the indirect tariff rates multiplying import from US or the world of 2018, while China’s import data is 2017 for 2018 is not available. 4. The same for Table 8.

## 5. Conclusion



This paper investigates the potential indirect effects of tariff hikes in the recent US – China trade war on other trading partners. To do so we calculate cumulative tariff rates, which take into account trade restrictions affecting goods along the global value chains. Since Chinese imports into the US are likely to be used as intermediates in goods that are then exported again by the US, an increase in the tariff on such goods also affects third countries, as they import the processed good from the US. This is less of an issue with US goods imported by China.

Because of the close trading relationship with the US, the EU, Canada and Mexico are hit hardest in absolute terms by increased US tariffs on Chinese imports. We estimate that the tariffs impose an additional burden of between 500 million to 1 billion US dollars on these countries. This shows that third countries are not unaffected by trade wars between two countries, and therefore have an economic incentive to help solving the difficulties causing the dispute.

**Table 8**  
The Indirect Tariff Caused by China Adding Tariff on US during “Trade War”

Countries	Indirect tariff rate added (%)		Indirect tariff growth rate		Indirect tariff burden (million \$)	
	For imports from China	For imports from the world	For imports from China	For imports from the world	For imports from China	For imports from the world
AUS	0.05	0.01	11.45%	5.35%	27.72	29.71
BRA	0.05	0.01	11.65%	4.08%	17.39	19.14
CAN	0.05	0.01	11.02%	2.59%	27.79	34.91
CHN	-	0.00	-	1.28%	-	50.49
IDN	0.05	0.01	12.36%	5.78%	22.14	23.11
IND	0.05	0.01	11.61%	5.95%	45.68	59.61
JPN	0.05	0.01	10.60%	5.50%	80.66	96.24
KOR	0.05	0.01	11.26%	6.20%	51.12	61.48
MEX	0.05	0.01	9.30%	3.77%	40.98	47.07
RUS	0.05	0.01	11.20%	4.54%	25.04	28.32
USA	0.05	0.01	10.52%	3.04%	<b>269.44</b>	<b>325.07</b>
EU	0.05	0.01	10.68%	2.26%	<b>212.76</b>	<b>126.04</b>

## References

Amiti, M., S. Redding and D. Weinstein, 2019, The impact of the 2018 trade war on US prices and welfare, CEPR Discussion Paper 13564

Balistreri, E., C. Böhringer, T.F. Rutherford, 2018, Quantifying disruptive trade policies, CESifo Working Paper 7382

Bellora, C. and L. Fontagne, 2019, Shooting oneself in the foot? Trade war and global value chains. Mimeo, CEPII

Bolt, W., K. Mavromatis and S. van Wijnbergen, 2019, The global macroeconomics of a trade war: The EAGLE model on the US-China trade conflict. DNB Working Paper, No. 623.

Costinot, A. and A. Rodriguez-Clare, 2014, Trade theory with numbers: Quantifying the consequences of globalization, in Handbook of International Economics, Vol. 4, 197-261

Felbermayr, G., B. Jund and M. Larch, 2015, The welfare consequences of import tariffs: A quantitative approach, Journal of International Economics, 97, 295-309.

Li, C., C. He and C. Lin, 2018, Economic impacts of the possible China-US trade war. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 54(7), 1557-1577.

Ossa, R., 2014, Trade wars and trade talks with data, American Economic Review 104, 4104-4146

Rouzet, D. and S. Miroudot, 2013, The cumulative impact of trade barriers along the value chain: An empirical assessment using the OECD inter-country input-output model, GTAP (Global Trade Analysis Project) Resource No. 4184, URL: [https://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/resources/res\\_display.asp?RecordID=4184](https://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/resources/res_display.asp?RecordID=4184).